

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/522,686 | NAGAE, TAKAAKI | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Nathan Hillery | 2176 | |

All Participants:

(1) Nathan Hillery.

(2) John Young.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: 5:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

25,26,30

Prior art documents discussed:

Jackson and Shinozaki


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed canceling claims 25, 26, and 30 in order to place the case in condition for allowance. At this time, Applicant's representative agreed with the cancellation

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)